

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,665	02/13/2002	Thomas J. Kennedy III	P-4628-3-C1-3-C1	8236
24492 7	7590 04/16/2003			
MICHELLE BUGBEE, ASSOCIATE PATENT COUNSEL SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE INC 425 MEADOW STREET			EXAMINER	
			GORDON, RAEANN	
PO BOX 901 CHICOPEE, M	1A 01021-0901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4 . 2 7				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/074,665	KENNEDY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raeann Gorden	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-5-6	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 27.53 in/org ponding in the application	_					
	 Claim(s) 27-53 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-45 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<u> </u>					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46-53</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)	. ,					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 46-50, 52, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nesbitt (4,431,193). Regarding claims 46, 47 and 50, Nesbitt discloses a golf ball comprising a core, an inner cover layer, and an outer cover layer. The inner cover layer is made from a hard, highly flexural modulus resinous material such as Surlyn 1605, which has a Shore D hardness of 62 (see Yabuki 6,359,066). The outer cover layer is made from a soft, low flexural modulus resinous material such as Surlyn 1855, which has a Shore D hardness of 55 (see Yabuki 6,359,066, col 11). The spin factor is inherent since the materials are the same. Regarding claims 48 and 49, the inner cover layer thickness is from 0.020 to 0.070 inch and the outer cover layer thickness is from 0.020 to 0.100 inch (fig 2). Regarding claim 52, the inner cover layer material has a flex modulus of 44,961 psi (Yabuki 6,359,066, col 11). Regarding claim 53, the coefficient of restitution of the core is 0.770 and the coefficient of restitution of

Art Unit: 3711

the core and inner cover layer is 0.800 or more. Applicant's COR, 0.770 or more, for the ball is an inherent feature of Nesbitt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nesbitt in view of Sullivan (5,098,105). Nesbitt discloses the invention as shown above but does not disclose an ionomer for the outer layer with a flex modulus from 1,000 to 10,000 psi. Sullivan teaches an ionomer for a cover layer with a flex modulus from 2500 to 3500 psi (col 9, line 47). One skilled in the art would have modified the outer layer material to increase the durability of the golf ball.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/074,665

Art Unit: 3711

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 46-53 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-42 of U.S. Patent No. 6,213,894. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present invention is anticipated by the '894 patent.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2-5-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues the primary reference, Nesbitt, does not disclose the Shore D hardness, PGA compression of 100 or less, or a spin factor. Nesbitt discloses the inner cover layer is made from Surlyn 1605, which has a Shore D hardness of 62. The outer cover is made from Surlyn 1855, which has a Shore D hardness of 55. Although Nesbitt does not disclose the hardness of the materials the properties are inherent. As applicant is aware Surlyn 1605 and 1855 are commercially available and have specific properties, such as \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Shore D hardness values. Since Nesbitt specifically discloses Surlyn 1605 and 1855 the properties are inherent. The PGA compression is also an inherent feature of all golf balls. A golf ball with a PGA compression less than 80 or greater than 100 is usually sold as a range ball or discarded; therefore the limitation is not new or patenbale over the prior art. Since the golf ball as claimed by applicant has the same hardness and materials the spin factor is also an inherent

Application/Control Number: 10/074,665

Art Unit: 3711

feature. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Sullivan clearly teaches the material used for the cover layer of the golf ball, which is equivalent to the outer cover layer of Nesbitt.

Page 5

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,665

Art Unit: 3711

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell can be reached on 703-308-2126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

rg April 9, 2003 Mark S. Graham
Primary Examiner